Chapter 1

Market Abuse
1.1 Application and interpretation

Application and purpose

1.1.1 This chapter is relevant to all persons seeking guidance on the market abuse regime.

1.1.1A [deleted]

1.1.2 This chapter provides guidance on the Market Abuse Regulation. It is therefore likely to be helpful to persons who:

(1) want to avoid engaging in market abuse; or

(2) want to determine whether they are required by article 16 of the Market Abuse Regulation to report a transaction or order to the FCA as a suspicious one.

1.1.3 The FCA's statement of policy about the imposition, duration and amount of penalties in cases of market abuse (required by section 124 of the Act) is in DEPP 6.

Using MAR 1

1.1.4 (1) Assistance in the interpretation of MAR 1 (and the remainder of the Handbook) is given in the Readers' Guide to the Handbook and in GEN 2 (Interpreting the Handbook). This includes an explanation of the status of the types of provision used (see in particular chapter six of the Readers' Guide to the Handbook).
This chapter does not exhaustively describe all types of behaviour that may indicate market abuse. In particular, the descriptions of behaviour should be read in the light of:

1. the elements specified by the Market Abuse Regulation as making up the relevant type of market abuse; and
2. any relevant descriptions of behaviour specified by the Market Abuse Regulation which do not amount to market abuse; and
3. any provisions specified in any Commission legislative text made pursuant to the Market Abuse Regulation, and any applicable guidelines made by ESMA.

This chapter does not exhaustively describe all the factors to be taken into account in determining whether behaviour amounts to market abuse. The absence of a factor mentioned does not, of itself, amount to a contrary indication.

For the avoidance of doubt, it should be noted that any reference in this chapter to "profit" refers also to potential profits, avoidance of loss or potential avoidance of loss.

References are made in this chapter to provisions in the Market Abuse Regulation and other EU legislation made pursuant to the Market Abuse Regulation to assist readers. The fact that other provisions of the Market Abuse Regulation and other EU legislation made pursuant to the Market Abuse Regulation have not been referred to does not mean that they would not also assist readers or that they have a different status.
1.2 Market Abuse: general

1.2.1 G Provisions in this section are relevant to more than one of the types of behaviour which may amount to *market abuse*.

1.2.2 UK [deleted]

1.2.2-A EU [article 2, article 14 and article 15 of the *Market Abuse Regulation*]

1.2.2A UK [deleted]

1.2.3 G The *Market Abuse Regulation* does not require the *person* engaging in the behaviour in question to have intended to commit *market abuse*.

1.2.4 G [deleted]
Factors that may be taken into account in relation to behaviour prior to either a request for admission to trading, the admission to or the commencement of trading, or the offer for sale on a prescribed auction platform

1.2.5 G The following factors may be taken into account in determining whether or not behaviour prior to a request for admission to trading, the admission to or the commencement of trading, or the offer for sale on a prescribed auction platform contravenes prohibitions and obligations in the Market Abuse Regulation and are indications that it does:

if it is in relation to financial instruments:

in respect of which a request for admission to trading on a regulated market or MTF is subsequently made; and

if it continues to have an effect once an application has been made for the financial instrument to be admitted for trading, or it has been admitted to trading on a regulated market or MTF, respectively; or

if it is in relation to financial instruments:

which are subsequently offered for sale on a prescribed auction platform; and

if it continues to have an effect once the financial instruments are offered for sale on a prescribed auction platform.

1.2.6 G The following factors may be taken into account in determining whether or not refraining from action indicates behaviour which falls under the scope of the Market Abuse Regulation, and are indications that it does:

if the person concerned has failed to discharge a legal or regulatory obligation (for example to make a particular disclosure) by refraining from acting; or

if the person concerned has created a reasonable expectation of him acting in a particular manner, as a result of his representations (by word or conduct), in circumstances which give rise to a duty or obligation to inform those to whom he made the representations that they have ceased to be correct, and he has not done so.

Insiders: factors to be taken into account

1.2.7 UK [deleted]

1.2.7-A EU [article 8(4) of the Market Abuse Regulation]

1.2.7A UK [deleted]

1.2.8 G The following factors may be taken into account in determining whether or not a person who possesses inside information ought to know that it is inside information for the purposes of the final indent of article 8(4) of the Market Abuse Regulation:
(1) if a normal and reasonable person in the position of the person who has inside information would know or should have known that the person from whom he received it is an insider; and

(2) if a normal and reasonable person in the position of the person who has inside information would know or should have known that it is inside information.

1.2.9 G For the purposes of being categorised as an insider in article 8(4) of the Market Abuse Regulation, the person concerned does not need to know that the information concerned is inside information.

Inside information: factors to be taken into account

1.2.10 UK [deleted]

1.2.10A EU [article 7 of the Market Abuse Regulation]

1.2.11 G [deleted]
The following factors may be taken into account in determining whether or not information has been made public, and are indications that it has (and therefore is not inside information):

1. whether the information has been disclosed to a prescribed market or a prescribed auction platform through a regulatory information service or RIS or otherwise in accordance with the rules of that market;

2. whether the information is contained in records which are open to inspection by the public;

3. whether the information is otherwise generally available, including through the Internet, or some other publication (including if it is only available on payment of a fee), or is derived from information which has been made public; and

4. whether the information can be obtained by observation by members of the public without infringing rights or obligations of privacy, property or confidentiality.

5. [deleted]

In relation to the factors in MAR 1.2.12G it is not relevant that the information is only generally available outside the UK.

In relation to the factors in MAR 1.2.12G (1) it is not relevant that the observation or analysis is only achievable by a person with above average financial resources, expertise or competence.

For example, if a passenger on a train passing a burning factory calls his broker and tells him to sell shares in the factory’s owner, the passenger will be using information which has been made public, since it is information which has been obtained by legitimate means through observation of a public event.

In determining whether there is a pending order for a client in relation to article 7(1)(d) of the Market Abuse Regulation, a factor that may be taken into account is if a person is approached by another in relation to a transaction, and:

1. the transaction is not immediately executed on an arm’s length basis in response to a price quoted by that person; and

2. the person concerned has taken on a legal or regulatory obligation relating to the manner or timing of the execution of the transaction.
Inside information: commodity derivatives

1.2.17 [deleted]
[Note: article 7(1)(b) of the Market Abuse Regulation]

1.2.18 UK [deleted]

1.2.18A EU [article 7(1)(b) of the Market Abuse Regulation]

1.2.19 UK [deleted]

1.2.19A ESMA has issued guidelines under article 7(5) of the Market Abuse Regulation which relate to the definition of inside information in the context of commodity derivatives.


1.2.20 G [deleted]

1.2.21 G [deleted]
The following are examples of behaviour that might fall within the scope of article 14(b) of the Market Abuse Regulation:

1. A director of a company, while in possession of inside information, instructs an employee of that company to sell a financial instrument in respect of which the information is inside information;

2. A person recommends or advises a friend to engage in behaviour which, if he himself engaged in it, would amount to market abuse.
1.3 Insider dealing

1.3.1 [deleted]

1.3.1A [article 8 of the Market Abuse Regulation]

Descriptions of behaviour that amount to insider dealing

1.3.2 The following are examples of behaviour that may amount to insider dealing under the Market Abuse Regulation, but are not intended to form an exhaustive list:

(1) [deleted]

(2) front running/pre-positioning - that is, a transaction for a person's own benefit, on the basis of and ahead of an order (including an order relating to a bid) which he is to carry out with or for another (in respect of which information concerning the order is inside information), which takes advantage of the anticipated impact of the order on the market or auction clearing price;

(3) in the context of a takeover, an offeror or potential offeror entering into a transaction in a financial instrument, using inside information concerning the proposed bid, that provides merely an economic exposure to movements in the price of the target company's shares (for example, a spread bet on the target company's share price); and

(4) in the context of a takeover, a person who acts for the offeror or potential offeror dealing for his own benefit in a financial instrument using information concerning the proposed bid.
Factors to be taken into account: "on the basis of"

1.3.3 [deleted]
[Note: article 9 of the Market Abuse Regulation]

1.3.4 [deleted]

1.3.5 [deleted]
[Note: article 9(1)(a) of the Market Abuse Regulation]

Relevant factors: legitimate business of market makers

1.3.6 [deleted]
[Note: article 9(5) of the Market Abuse Regulation]

1.3.7 For market makers and persons that may lawfully deal in financial instruments on their own account, pursuing their legitimate business of such dealing (including entering into an agreement for the underwriting of an issue of financial instruments) may not in itself amount to market abuse.

1.3.8 [deleted]

1.3.9 [deleted]

1.3.10 The following factors maybe taken into account in determining whether or not a person's behaviour is in pursuit of legitimate business, and are indications that it is:

(1) the extent to which the relevant trading by the person is carried out in order to hedge a risk, and in particular the extent to which it neutralises and responds to a risk arising out of the person's legitimate business; or

(2) whether, in the case of a transaction on the basis of inside information about a client's transaction which has been executed, the reason for it being inside information is that information about the transaction is not, or is not yet, required to be published under any relevant regulatory or trading venue obligations; or

(3) whether, if the relevant trading by that person is connected with a transaction entered into or to be entered into with a client (including a potential client), the trading either has no impact on the price or there has been adequate disclosure to that client that trading will take place and he has not objected to it; or

(4) the extent to which the person's behaviour was reasonable by the proper standards of conduct of the market concerned, taking into account any relevant regulatory or legal obligations and whether the transaction is executed in a way which takes into account the need for the market as a whole to operate fairly and efficiently.
1.3.11 [deleted]
[Note: article 9 of the Market Abuse Regulation]

Relevant factors: execution of client orders

1.3.12 [deleted]
[Note: article 9 of the Market Abuse Regulation]

1.3.13 [deleted]
The following factors may be taken into account in determining whether or not a person's behaviour in executing an order (including an order relating to a bid) on behalf of another is carried out legitimately in the normal course of exercise of that person's employment, profession or duties, and are indications that it is:

1. whether the person has complied with the applicable provisions of COBS, or their equivalents in the relevant jurisdiction; or

2. whether the person has agreed with its client it will act in a particular way when carrying out, or arranging the carrying out of, the order; or

3. whether the person's behaviour was with a view to facilitating or ensuring the effective carrying out of the order; or

4. the extent to which the person's behaviour was reasonable by the proper standards of conduct of the market or auction platform concerned and (if relevant) proportional to the risk undertaken by him; or

5. whether, if the relevant trading or bidding (including the withdrawal of a bid) by that person is connected with a transaction entered into or to be entered into with a client (including a potential client), the trading or bidding either has no impact on the price or there has been adequate disclosure to that client that trading or bidding will take place and he has not objected to it.

Descriptions of behaviour that do not indicate insider dealing and relevant factors: takeover and merger activity

With reference to article 9(4) of the Market Abuse Regulation, examples of using inside information solely for the purpose of proceeding with a merger or public takeover may include:

1. seeking from holders of securities, issued by the target, irrevocable undertakings or expressions of support to accept an offer to acquire those securities (or not to accept such an offer);

2. making arrangements in connection with an issue of securities that are to be offered as consideration for the takeover or merger offer or to be issued in order to fund the takeover or merger offer, including making arrangements for the underwriting or placing of those securities and any associated hedging arrangements by underwriters or places which are proportionate to the risks assumed; and

3. making arrangements to offer cash as consideration for the takeover or merger offer as an alternative to securities consideration.
1.3.18 Categories of inside information relevant to MAR 1.3.17 G:

(1) information that an offeror or potential offeror is going to make, or is considering making, an offer for the target; and

(2) information that an offeror or potential offeror may obtain through due diligence.

1.3.19 The following factor maybe taken into account in determining whether or not a person's behaviour is for the purpose of him proceeding with a merger with the target company or a public takeover of the target company, and is an indication that it is:

(1) whether the transactions concerned are in the target company's shares.

(2) [deleted]

Examples of insider dealing

1.3.20 The following descriptions are intended to assist in understanding certain behaviours which may constitute insider dealing under the Market Abuse Regulation and concern the definition of inside information relating to financial instruments other than commodity derivatives or emissions allowances or auctioned products based thereon:

(1) X, a director at B PLC has lunch with a friend, Y. X tells Y that his company has received a takeover offer that is at a premium to the current share price at which it is trading. Y enters into a spread bet priced or valued by reference to the share price of B PLC based on his expectation that the price in B PLC will increase once the takeover offer is announced.

(2) An employee at B PLC obtains the information that B PLC has just lost a significant contract with its main customer. Before the information is announced over the regulatory information service the employee, whilst being under no obligation to do so, sells his shares in B PLC based on the information about the loss of the contract.

1.3.21 The following description is intended to assist in understanding certain behaviours which may constitute insider dealing under the Market Abuse Regulation and concerns the definition of inside information relating to commodity derivatives.

Before the official publication of LME stock levels, a metals trader learns (from an insider) that there has been a significant decrease in the level of LME aluminium stocks. This information is reasonably expected to be disclosed in accordance with market practice or custom on the LME. The trader buys a substantial number of futures in that metal on the LME, based upon his knowledge of the significant decrease in aluminium stock levels.

1.3.22 The following description is intended to assist in understanding certain behaviours which may constitute insider dealing under the Market Abuse Regulation and concerns the definition of inside information relating to pending client orders.
A dealer on the trading desk of a firm dealing in oil derivatives accepts a very large order from a client to acquire a long position in oil futures deliverable in a particular month. Before executing the order, the dealer trades for the firm and on his personal account by taking a long position in those oil futures, based on the expectation that he will be able to sell them at profit due to the significant price increase that will result from the execution of his client’s order. Both trades could constitute insider dealing.

The following connected descriptions are intended to assist in understanding certain behaviours which may constitute insider dealing under the Market Abuse Regulation and concern the differences in the definition of inside information for commodity derivatives and for other financial instruments.

1. **1.3.23**

   (1) A person deals, on a trading venue, in the equities of XYZ plc, a commodity producer, based on inside information concerning that company.

   (2) A person deals, in a commodity futures contract traded on a trading venue, based on the same information, provided that the information is reasonably expected to be disclosed or is required to be disclosed in accordance with legal or regulatory provisions at the EU or national level, market rules, contract, practice or custom, on the relevant commodity futures market.

2. **1.3.24**

   ESMA has issued guidelines under article 7(5) of the Market Abuse Regulation which relate to the definition of inside information in the context of commodity derivatives.

   [Note: the guidelines are available at](https://www.esma.europa.eu/document/ESMA17/1533558/ESMA24112017-guidelines-on-inside-information-for-commodity-derivatives)
1.4 Unlawful disclosure

1.4.1 [deleted]

1.4.1A [article 10 of the Market Abuse Regulation]

**Descriptions of behaviour that indicate unlawful disclosure**

The following behaviours are indications of unlawful disclosure:

1. disclosure of *inside information* by the *director* of an *issuer* to another in a social context; and
2. selective briefing of analysts by *directors of issuers* or others who are *persons discharging managerial responsibilities*.

**Descriptions of behaviour that does not indicate unlawful disclosure**

The following behaviour indicates that a *person* is acting in the normal exercise of their employment, profession or duties, if a *person* makes a disclosure of *inside information*:

1. to a government department, the Bank of England, the Competition Commission, the *Takeover Panel* or any other regulatory body or authority for the purposes of fulfilling a legal or regulatory obligation; or
2. otherwise to such a body in connection with the performance of the functions of that body.
Disclosure of inside information which is required or permitted by Part 6 rules (or any similar regulatory obligation) may not amount to unlawful disclosure.

Disclosure of inside information by a broker to a potential buyer regarding the fact that the seller of financial instruments is a person discharging managerial responsibilities or the identity of the person discharging managerial responsibilities or the purpose of the sale by the person discharging managerial responsibilities where:

the disclosure is made only to the extent necessary, and solely in order to dispose of the investment;

(2) the illiquidity of the stock is such that the transaction could not otherwise be completed; and

(3) the transaction could not be otherwise completed without creating a disorderly market;

may not, of itself, amount to unlawful disclosure.

Factors to be taken into account in determining whether or not behaviour amounts to unlawful disclosure

The following factors are to be taken into account in determining whether or not the disclosure was made by a person in the proper course of the exercise of his employment, profession or duties, and are indications that it was:

(1) whether the disclosure is permitted by the rules of a trading venue a prescribed auction platform, of the FCA or the Takeover Code; or

(2) whether the disclosure is accompanied by the imposition of confidentiality requirements upon the person to whom the disclosure is made and is:

(a) reasonable and is to enable a person to perform the proper functions of his employment, profession or duties; or

(b) reasonable and is (for example, to a professional adviser) for the purposes of facilitating or seeking or giving advice about a transaction or takeover bid; or

(c) reasonable and is for the purpose of facilitating any commercial, financial or investment transaction (including prospective underwriters or placees of securities); or

(d) reasonable and is for the purpose of obtaining a commitment or expression of support in relation to an offer which is subject to the Takeover Code; or

(e) in fulfilment of a legal obligation, including to employee representatives or trade unions acting on their behalf.

(3) [deleted]
Examples of unlawful disclosure

The following descriptions are intended to assist in understanding certain behaviours which may constitute unlawful disclosure under the Market Abuse Regulation:

(1) X, a director at B PLC has lunch with a friend, Y, who has no connection with B PLC or its advisers. X tells Y that his company has received a takeover offer that is at a premium to the current share price at which it is trading.

(2) A, a person discharging managerial responsibilities in B PLC, asks C, a broker, to sell some or all of As shares in B PLC. C discloses to a potential buyer that A is a person discharging managerial responsibilities or discloses the identity of A, in circumstances where the fact that A is a person discharging managerial responsibilities or the identity of A, is inside information.

[deleted]
1.6 Manipulating transactions

1.6.1 [deleted]

1.6.1-A EU [article 12(1)(b) of the Market Abuse Regulation]

1.6.1A UK [deleted]

Giving false or misleading impressions

1.6.2 E [deleted]

[Note: Annex 1A of the Market Abuse Regulation.]

1.6.3 G Entering into a stock lending/borrowing or repo/reverse repo transaction, or another transaction involving the provision of collateral, does not of itself indicate behaviour described in Annex IA(c) of the Market Abuse Regulation.

1.6.4 E [deleted]

[Note: Annex 1A of the Market Abuse Regulation.]

Factors to be taken into account: legitimate reasons

1.6.5 G The following factors are to be taken into account when considering whether behaviour is for legitimate reasons in relation to article 12(1)(a) of the Market Abuse Regulation, and are indications that it is not:

1. if the person has an actuating purpose behind the transaction to induce others to trade in, bid for or to position or move the price of, a financial instrument;

2. if the person has another, illegitimate, reason behind the transactions, bid or order to trade; and

3. if the transaction was executed in a particular way with the purpose of creating a false or misleading impression.

1.6.6 G The following factors are to be taken into account when considering whether behaviour is for legitimate reasons in relation to article 12(1)(a) of the Market Abuse Regulation, and are indications that it is:
(1) if the transaction is pursuant to a prior legal or regulatory obligation owed to a third party;

(2) if the transaction is executed in a way which takes into account the need for the market or auction platform as a whole to operate fairly and efficiently;

(3) the extent to which the transaction generally opens a new position, so creating an exposure to market risk, rather than closes out a position and so removes market risk; and

(4) if the transaction complied with the rules of the relevant trading venue about how transactions are to be executed in a proper way (for example, rules on reporting and executing cross-transactions).

1.6.7 G It is unlikely that the behaviour of trading venue users when dealing at times and in sizes most beneficial to them (whether for the purpose of long term investment objectives, risk management or short term speculation) and seeking the maximum profit from their dealings will of itself amount to manipulation. Such behaviour, generally speaking, improves the liquidity and efficiency of trading venues.

1.6.8 G It is unlikely that prices in the market which are trading outside their normal range will necessarily be indicative that someone has engaged in behaviour with the purpose of positioning prices at a distorted level. High or low prices relative to a trading range can be the result of the proper interplay of supply and demand.

Factors to be taken into account: behaviour giving a false or misleading impression

1.6.9 E [deleted]

[Note: Annex 1A of the Market Abuse Regulation]

Factors to be taken into account: behaviour securing an abnormal or artificial price level

1.6.10 G The following factors are to be taken into account in determining whether or not a person's behaviour amounts to manipulating transactions as described in article 12(1)(a)(ii) of the Market Abuse Regulation:

(1) the extent to which the person had a direct or indirect interest in the price or value of the financial instrument;

(2) the extent to which price, rate or option volatility movements, and the volatility of these factors for the investment in question, are outside their normal intra-day, daily, weekly or monthly range; and
(3) whether a person has successively and consistently increased or decreased his bid, offer or the price he has paid for a financial instrument;

Factors to be taken into account: abusive squeezes

The following factors are to be taken into account when determining whether a person has engaged in behaviour referred to in Annex I A(a) or (b) of the Market Abuse Regulation, commonly known as an “abusive squeeze”:

1. the extent to which a person is willing to relax his control or other influence in order to help maintain an orderly market, and the price at which he is willing to do so; for example, behaviour is less likely to amount to an abusive squeeze if a person is willing to lend the investment in question;

2. the extent to which the person's activity causes, or risks causing, settlement default by other market users on a multilateral basis and not just a bilateral basis. The more widespread the risk of multilateral settlement default, the more likely that an abusive squeeze has been effected;

3. the extent to which prices under the delivery mechanisms of the market diverge from the prices for delivery of the investment or its equivalent outside those mechanisms. The greater the divergence beyond that to be reasonably expected, the more likely that an abusive squeeze has been effected; and

4. the extent to which the spot or immediate market compared to the forward market is unusually expensive or inexpensive or the extent to which borrowing rates are unusually expensive or inexpensive.

Squeezes occur relatively frequently when the proper interaction of supply and demand leads to market tightness, but this is not of itself likely to be abusive. In addition, having a significant influence over the supply of, or demand for, or delivery mechanisms for an investment, for example, through ownership, borrowing or reserving the investment in question, is not of itself likely to be abusive.

The effects of an abusive squeeze are likely to be influenced by the extent to which other market users have failed to protect their own interests or fulfil their obligations in a manner consistent with the standards of behaviour to be expected of them in that market. Market users can be expected to settle their obligations and not to put themselves in a position where, to do so, they have to rely on holders of long positions lending when they may not be inclined to do so and may be under no obligation to do so.

Examples of manipulating transactions

The following are examples of behaviour that may amount to manipulating transactions as described in article 12(1)(a)(ii) of the Market Abuse Regulation:
(1) [deleted]

(2) [deleted]

(3) A trader holds a short position that will show a profit if a particular financial instrument, which is currently a component of an index, falls out of that index. The question of whether the financial instrument will fall out of the index depends on the closing price of the financial instrument. He places a large sell order in this financial instrument just before the close of trading. His purpose is to position the price of the financial instrument at a false, misleading, abnormal or artificial level so that the financial instrument will drop out of the index so as to make a profit; and

(4) A fund manager’s quarterly performance will improve if the valuation of his portfolio at the end of the quarter in question is higher rather than lower. He places a large order to buy relatively illiquid shares, which are also components of his portfolio, to be executed at or just before the close. His purpose is to position the price of the shares at a false, misleading, abnormal or artificial level.

1.6.16 The following is an example of an abusive squeeze:

A trader with a long position in bond futurebuys or borrows a large amount of the cheapest to deliver bonds and either refuses to re-lend these bonds or will only lend them to parties he believes will not re-lend to the market. His purpose is to position the price at which those with short positions have to deliver to satisfy their obligations at a materially higher level, making him a profit from his original position.
1.7 Manipulating devices

1.7.1 UK [deleted]

1.7.1-A EU [article 12(1)(b) of the Market Abuse Regulation]

1.7.1A UK [deleted]

Descriptions of behaviour that amount to manipulating devices

1.7.2 E [deleted]

[Note: Article 12(2)(d) Market Abuse Regulation]

Factors to be taken into account in determining whether or not behaviour amounts to manipulating devices

1.7.3 E [deleted]

[Note: Annex 1B of the Market Abuse Regulation]
1.8 Dissemination

Descriptions of behaviour that amount to dissemination

Factors to be taken into account in determining whether or not behaviour amounts to dissemination

Example of dissemination

The following is an example of behaviour which may amount to a contravention of article 12(1)(c) of the Market Abuse Regulation:

(1) a person posts information on an Internet bulletin board or chat room which contains false or misleading statements about the takeover of a company whose shares are financial instruments and the person knows that the information is false or misleading.

[Note: article 12(1)(c) of the Market Abuse Regulation.]
1.9  Misleading behaviour & distortion

1.9.1  [deleted]

1.9.1-A  [article 12(1)(c) of the Market Abuse Regulation]

1.9.1A  [deleted]

1.9.2  [deleted]

1.9.2A  (1)  [deleted]

          (2)  [deleted]

          [deleted]

1.9.2B  [deleted]

Short selling in relation to financial sector companies

1.9.2C  (1)  [deleted]

          (2)  [deleted]

          (3)  [deleted]

          (4)  [deleted]

1.9.2D  (1)  [deleted]

          (2)  [deleted]

          (a)  [deleted]

          (b)  [deleted]

          (2A)  [deleted]

          (3)  [deleted]
1.10 Statutory exceptions

Behaviour that does not amount to market abuse

1.10.1 (1) Behaviour which conforms with article 5 of the Market Abuse Regulation or with a directly applicable EU regulation made under article 5 of the Market Abuse Regulation will not amount to market abuse.

(2) [deleted]

(3) [deleted]

FCA rules

There are no rules which permit or require a person to behave in a way which amounts to market abuse.

1.10.2 (1) [deleted]

(2) [deleted]

Takeover Code

There are no rules in the Takeover Code, which permit or require a person to behave in a way which amounts to market abuse.

1.10.3

Behaviour conforming with any of the rules of the Takeover Code about the timing, dissemination or availability, content and standard of care applicable to a disclosure, announcement, communication or release of information, is unlikely to, of itself, amount to market abuse, if:

(1) the rule is one of those specified in the table in MAR 1.10.5G; and

(2) the behaviour is expressly required or expressly permitted by the rule in question (the notes for the time being associated with the rules identified in the Takeover Code are treated as part of the relevant rule for these purposes); and

(3) it conforms to any General Principle set out at Section B of the Takeover Code relevant to that rule.

1.10.4

Table: Provisions of the Takeover Code conformity with which will be unlikely to, of itself, amount to market abuse (This table belongs to MAR 1.10.4G):
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Takeover Code provisions:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Disclosure of information which is not generally available</td>
<td>1(a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.1, 2.7,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.11,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>20.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>21.3, 21.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>28.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30.1, 30.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standards of care</td>
<td>2.8 first sentence and note 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>19.1, 19.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>20.6 second sentence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>23.1 plus notes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>28.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Timing of announcements, documentation and dealings</td>
<td>2.2, 2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6.2(b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11.1 note 6 only</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>17.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>21.2 note 4 only</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>24.1(a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>25.1(a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>31.6(d), 31.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>33 (in so far as it refers to 31.6(d) and 31.9 only)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Content of announcements</td>
<td>2.4 (a) and (b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>19.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1.10.6  

Behaviour conforming with Rule 4.2 of the Takeover Code (in relation to restrictions on dealings by offerors and concert parties) will be unlikely to, of itself, amount to market abuse, if:

1. the behaviour is expressly required or expressly permitted by that rule (the notes for the time being associated with the rules identified in the Takeover Code are treated as part of the rule for these purposes); and

2. it conforms to any General Principle set out at Section B of the Takeover Code relevant to the rule.
Provisions of the Buy-back and Stabilisation Regulation relating to buy-back programmes

1.1.8 G The FCA accepts as “adequate public disclosure”:  
(1) disclosure through a regulatory information service or otherwise in accordance with Part 6 rules; or  
(2) the equivalent disclosure mechanism required to be used in relation to the relevant trading venue.
| 1.1.14 | G | [deleted] |
Accepted Market Practices

[article 13 of the Market Abuse Regulation.]