Chapter 15

Banks' control of financial crime risks in trade finance (2013)



15.3 15.3Consolidated examples of good and poor practice

15.3.1 Governance and MI

Examples of good practice

- Roles and responsibilities for managing financial crime risks in trade finance are clear and documented.
- The bank ensures that staff have the opportunity to share knowledge and information about financial crime risk in trade finance, for example by holding regular teleconferences with key trade finance staff or by including trade finance financial crime risk as an agenda item in relevant forums.

Examples of poor practice

- Failure to produce management information on financial crime risk in trade finance.
- Internal audit fails to consider financial crime controls in trade finance.

The culture of a bank does not encourage the sharing of information relevant to managing financial crime risk in trade finance.

15.3.2 Risk assessment

Examples of good practice

The bank assesses and documents both money laundering and sanctions risk in the bank's trade finance business. This assessment is tailored to the bank's role in trade transactions and can form part of the bank's wider financial crime risk assessment.

Examples of poor practice

- Failure to update risk assessments and keep them under regular review to take account of emerging risks in trade finance.
- Only focusing on credit and reputational risk in trade finance.
 - Not taking account of a customer's use of the bank's trade finance products and

FCTR 15/2

services in a financial crime risk assessment.

15.3.3 Policies and procedures

Examples of good practice

- Staff are required to consider financial crime risks specific to trade finance transactions and identify the customers and transactions that present the highest risk at various stages of a transaction.
- Staff identify key parties to

 a transaction and screen
 them against sanctions
 lists. Key parties include
 the instructing party, but
 may include other parties
 on a risk-sensitive basis.
- The bank provides guidance on recognising red flags in trade finance transactions.

Examples of poor practice

- Staff are not required to consider trade specific money laundering risks (eg, FATF/Wolfsberg red flags).
 - Procedures do not take account of money laundering risks and are focused on credit and operational risks.
- No clear escalation procedures for high-risk transactions.
- Procedures fail to take account of the parties involved in a transaction, the countries where they are based and the nature of the good involved.

15.3.4 Due diligence

Examples of good practice

 Banks' written procedures are clear about what due diligence checks are necessary on the instructing parties. They take account of the bank's role in a transaction, and when it is appropriate to apply due diligence checks to others, including non-client beneficiaries (or recipients) of an LC or BC.

Examples of poor practice

- Trade processing teams do not make adequate use of the significant knowledge of customers' activity possessed by relationship managers or trade sales teams when considering the financial crime risk in particular transactions.
- Lack of appropriate dialogue between CDD teams and trade processing teams whenever potential financial crime issues arise from the processing of a trade finance transaction.

■ Release 39 • Aug 2024

15.3.5 Training and awareness

Examples of good practice

- Tailored training is given that raises staff awareness and understanding of trade-specific money laundering, sanctions and terrorist financing risks.
- Relevant industry publications are used to raise awareness of emerging risks.
- Processing staff are trained to look for suspicious variances in the pricing of comparable or analogous transactions.

Examples of poor practice

- Only providing generic training that does not take account of trade-specific AML risks (eq FATF/ Wolfsberg red flags).
 - Failure to roll out trade specific financial crime training to all relevant staff engaged in trade finance activity, wherever located.
- Reliance on 'experienced' trade processing staff who have received no specific training on financial crime risk.

15.3.6 AML procedures

Examples of good practice

- A formal consideration of money laundering risk is written into the operating procedures governing LCs and BCs.
- The money laundering risk in each transaction is considered and evidence of the assessment made is kept.
- Detailed guidance is available for relevant staff on what constitutes a potentially suspicious transaction, including indicative lists of red flags.
- Staff processing transactions have a good knowledge of a customer's expected activity; and a sound understanding of trade based money laundering risks.
- Processing teams are encouraged to escalate suspicions for investigation as soon as possible.
- Those responsible for reviewing escalated transactions have an extensive knowledge of trade-based money laundering risk.

Examples of poor practice

- Failure to assess transactions for money laundering risk.
 - Reliance on customer due diligence procedures alone to mitigate the risk of money laundering in transactions.
- Reliance on training alone to ensure that staff escalate suspicious transactions, when there are no other procedures or controls in place.
- Disregarding money laundering risk when transactions present little or no credit risk.
 - Money laundering risk is disregarded when transactions involve another group entity (especially if the group entity is in a high risk jurisdiction).
 - A focus on sanctions risk at the expense of money laundering risk.

FCTR 15: Banks' control of financial crime risks in trade **finance (2013)**

- Underlying trade documentation relevant to the financial instrument is obtained and reviewed on a risk-sensitive basis. Third party data sources are used on a risk-sensitive basis to verify the information given in the LC or BC. Using professional judgement to consider whether the pricing of goods makes commercial sense, in particular in relation to traded commodities for which reliable and up-todate pricing information can be obtained. Regular, periodic quality assurance work is conducted by suitably qualified staff who assess the judgments made in relation to money laundering risk and potentially suspicious transactions. Trade processing staff keep up to date with emer-
- ging trade-based money laundering risks.
- Where red flags are used by banks as part of operational procedures, they are regularly updated and easily accessible to staff.
- Expertise in trade-based money laundering is also held in a department outside of the trade finance business (e.g. Compliance) so that independent decisions can be made in relation to further investigation of escalations and possible SAR reporting.

Failure to document adequately how money laundering risk has been considered or the steps taken to determine that a transaction is legitimate.

Trade-based money laundering checklists are used as 'tick lists' rather than as a starting point to think about the wider risks.

Failure to investigate potentially suspicious transactions due to time constraints or commercial pressures.

Failure to ensure that relevant staff understand money laundering risk and are aware of relevant industry guidance or red flags.

Failure to distinguish money laundering risk from sanctions risk.

Ambiguous escalation procedures for potentially suspicious transactions, or procedures that only allow for escalation to be made to sanctions teams.

Not taking account of other forms of potentially suspicious activity that may not be covered by the firm's guidance.

Failure to make use of information held in CDD files and RMs' knowledge to identify potentially suspicious transactions.

> Trade processing teams are not given sufficient time to fully investigate potentially suspicious activity, particularly when

there are commercial time pressures.

- Trade processing staff are not encouraged to keep up to date with emerging trade based money laundering risks.
- Failure to assess transactions for money laundering risk.
- Reliance on customer due diligence procedures alone to mitigate the risk of money laundering in transactions.

15.3.7 Sanctions procedures

Examples of good practice

- Screening information is contained within trade documents against applicable sanctions lists.
- Hits are investigated before proceeding with a transaction (for example, obtaining confirmation from third parties that an entity is not sanctioned), and clearly documenting the rationale for any decisions made.
- Shipping container numbers are validated on a risk-sensitive basis.
- Potential sanctions matches are screened for at several key stages of a transaction.
- Previous sanction alerts are analysed to identify situations where true hits are most likely to occur and the bank focuses its sanctions resources accordingly.
- New or amended informa- tion about a transaction is captured and screened.

Examples of poor practice

- Staff dealing with trade-related sanctions queries are not appropriately qualified and experienced to perform the role effectively.
- Failure to screen trade documentation.
- Failure to screen against all relevant international sanctions lists.
- Failure to keep-up-to-date with the latest information regarding name changes for sanctioned entities, especially as the information may not be reflected immediately on relevant sanctions lists.
- Failure to record the rationale for decisions to discount false positives.
 - Failure to undertake risksensitive screening of information held on agents, insurance companies, shippers, freight forwarders, delivery agents, inspection

15

agents, signatories, and parties mentioned in certificates of origin, as well as the main counterparties to a transaction.

Failure to record the rationale for decisions that are taken not to screen particular entities and retaining that information for audit purposes.

15.3.8 Dual-use goods

Examples of good practice

Ensuring staff are aware of dual-use goods issues, common types of goods that have a dual use, and are capable of identifying red flags that suggest that dual-use goods risk being supplied for illicit purposes.

Confirming with the exporter in higher risk situations whether a government licence is required for the transaction and seeking a copy of the licence where required.

Examples of poor practice

- No clear dual-use goods policy.
- Failure to undertake further research where goods descriptions are unclear or vague.
- Third party data sources are not used where possible to undertake checks on dual-use goods.