Financial crime systems and controls

Chapter 2

Financial crime systems and controls

#### Introduction 2.1

- 2.1.1 Who should read this chapter? This chapter applies to all firms subject to the financial crime rules in ■ SYSC 3.2.6R or ■ SYSC 6.1.1R. It also applies to emoney institutions and payment institutions within our supervisory scope.
- G 2.1.2 The Annex I financial institutions which we supervise for compliance with their obligations under the Money Laundering Regulations are not subject to the financial crime rules in SYSC. But the guidance in this chapter applies to them as it can assist them to comply with their obligations under the Regulations.
- 2.1.3 G All firms must take steps to defend themselves against financial crime, but a variety of approaches is possible. This chapter provides guidance on themes that should form the basis of managing financial crime risk. The general topics outlined here are also relevant in the context of the specific financial crime risks detailed in subsequent chapters. See ■ SYSC 6.1.1R and ■ SYSC 3.2.6R.

FCG 2/2



### 2.2 Themes

### Governance

### 2.2.1 G

We expect senior management to take clear responsibility for managing financial crime risks, which should be treated in the same manner as other risks faced by the business. There should be evidence that senior management are actively engaged in the firm's approach to addressing the risks. In considering senior management arrangements in the Guide, firms should consider their arrangements to comply with the Senior Managers and Certification Regime (SM&CR).

[**Editor's note**: see https://www.fca.org.uk/firms/senior-managers-certification-regime]

Self-assessment questions:

- •When did senior management, including the board or appropriate sub-committees, last consider financial crime issues? What action followed discussions?
- •How are senior management kept **up to date** on financial crime issues? (This may include receiving reports on the firm's performance in this area as well as ad hoc briefings on individual cases or emerging threats.)
- •Is there evidence that issues have been escalated where warranted?

#### There is little evidence of Senior management set the right tone and demonstrate senior staff involvement and leadership on financial crime challenge in practice. issues. A firm takes active steps to A firm concentrates on narprevent criminals taking adrow compliance with minvantage of its services. imum regulatory standards and has little engagement with the issues. We would draw comfort from • Financial crime issues are seeing evidence that these dealt with on a purely reactpractices take place. ive basis. A firm has a strategy for self-There is no meaningful record improvement on financial or evidence of senior managecrime. ment considering financial crime risks. There are clear criteria for escalating financial crime issues.

### Management information (MI)

#### G 2.2.2

MI should provide senior management with sufficient information to understand the financial crime risks to which their firm is exposed. This will help senior management effectively manage those risks and adhere to the firm's own risk appetite. MI should be provided regularly and ad hoc, as risk dictates.

Examples of financial crime MI include:

- •an overview of the financial crime risks to which the firm is exposed, including information about emerging risks and any changes to the firm's risk assessment
- •legal and regulatory developments and the impact these have on the firm's approach
- an overview of the effectiveness of the firm's financial crime systems and controls
- •an overview of staff expenses, gifts and hospitality and charitable donations, including claims that were rejected, and
- •relevant information about individual business relationships, for example:

the number and nature of new business relationships, in particular those that are high risk

the number and nature of business relationships that were terminated due to financial crime concerns

the number of transaction monitoring alerts

details of any true sanction hits, and

information about suspicious activity reports considered or submitted, where this is relevant.

MI may come from more than one source, for example the compliance department, internal audit, the MLRO or the nominated officer.

### Structure

#### G 2.2.3

Firms' organisational structures to combat financial crime may differ. Some large firms will have a single unit that coordinates efforts and which may report to the head of risk, the head of compliance or directly to the CEO. Other firms may spread responsibilities more widely. There is no one 'right answer' but the firm's structure should promote coordination and information sharing across the business.

Self-assessment questions:

- •Who has ultimate responsibility for financial crime matters, particularly: a) anti-money laundering; b) fraud prevention; c) data security; d) countering terrorist financing; e) anti-bribery and corruption and f) financial sanctions?
- •Do staff have appropriate seniority and experience, along with clear reporting lines?

# •Does the structure promote a **coordinated approach** and **accountability**?

- •Are the firm's financial crime teams adequately resourced to carry out their functions effectively? What are the annual budgets for dealing with financial crime, and are they proportionate to the risks?
- •In smaller firms: do those with financial crime responsibilities have other roles? (It is reasonable for staff to have more than one role, but consider whether they are spread too thinly and whether this may give rise to conflicts of interest.)

### Examples of good practice

### Examples of poor practice

- Financial crime risks are addressed in a coordinated manner across the business and information is shared readily.
- Management responsible for financial crime are sufficiently senior as well as being credible, independent, and experienced.
- A firm has considered how counter-fraud and anti-money laundering efforts can complement each other.
- A firm has a strategy for selfimprovement on financial crime.
- The firm bolsters insufficient in-house knowledge or resource with external expertise, for example in relation to assessing financial crime risk or monitoring compliance with standards.

- The firm makes no effort to understand or address **gaps** in its financial crime defences.
  - Financial crime officers are relatively **junior** and lack access to senior management. They are often **overruled** without documented justification.
- Financial crime departments are **under-resourced** and senior management are reluctant to address this.

### Risk assessment

### 2.2.4 G

A **thorough understanding** of its **financial crime risks** is key if a firm is to apply proportionate and effective systems and controls.

A firm should identify and assess the financial crime risks to which it is exposed as a result of, for example, the products and services it offers, the jurisdictions it operates in, the types of customer it attracts, the complexity and volume of transactions, and the distribution channels it uses to service its customers. Firms can then target their financial crime resources on the areas of greatest risk.

A **business-wide risk assessment** – or risk assessments – should:

- •be comprehensive and consider a wide range of factors it is not normally enough to consider just one factor
- •draw on a wide range of relevant information it is not normally enough to consider just one source, and

•be proportionate to the nature, scale and complexity of the firm's activities.

Firms should build on their business-wide risk assessment or risk assessments to determine the level of risk associated with individual relationships. This should:

- •enable the firm to take a holistic view of the risk associated with the relationship, considering all relevant risk factors, and
- •enable the firm to apply the appropriate level of due diligence to manage the risks identified.

The assessment of risk associated with individual relationships can inform, but is not a substitute for, business-wide risk assessments.

Firms should regularly review both their business-wide and individual risk assessments to ensure they remain current.

Self-assessment questions:

- •What are the main financial crime risks to the business?
- •How does your firm seek to understand the financial crime risks it faces?
- •When did the firm last update its risk assessment?
- •How do you identify new or emerging financial crime risks?
- •Is there evidence that risk is considered and recorded systematically, assessments are updated and sign-off is appropriate?
- •Who challenges risk assessments and how? Is this process sufficiently rigorous and well-documented?
- •How do procedures on the ground adapt to emerging risks? (For example, how quickly are policy manuals updated and procedures amended?)

#### The firm's risk assessment is Risk assessment is a one-off comprehensive. exercise. Risk assessment is a continu-Efforts to understand risk are ous process based on the best piecemeal and lack coorinformation available from indination. ternal and external sources. The firm assesses where risks Risk assessments are inare greater and concentrates complete. its resources accordingly. The firm actively considers the • The firm targets financial crimes that affect the bottom impact of crime on customers. line (e.g. fraud against the firm) but neglects those

### Examples of good practice

### Examples of poor practice

where third parties suffer (e.g. fraud against customers).

 The firm considers financial crime risk when designing new products and services.

## Policies and procedures

## 2.2.5 G

A firm must have in place up-to-date policies and procedures appropriate to its business. These should be **readily accessible**, **effective** and **understood** by all relevant staff.

Self-assessment questions:

- •How often are your firm's policies and procedures **reviewed**, and at what level of **seniority**?
- •How does it mitigate the financial crime risks it identifies?
- •What steps does the firm take to ensure that relevant policies and procedures **reflect new risks** or **external events**? How quickly are any necessary changes made?
- •What steps does the firm take to ensure that staff **understand** its policies and procedures?
- •For larger groups, how does your firm ensure that policies and procedures are **disseminated** and **applied** throughout the business?

### Examples of good practice

### Examples of poor practice

- There is clear documentation of a firm's approach to complying with its legal and regulatory requirements in relation to financial crime.
- A firm has no written policies and procedures.
- Policies and procedures are regularly reviewed and updated.
- The firm does not tailor externally produced policies and procedures to suit its business.
- Internal audit or another independent party monitors the effectiveness of policies, procedures, systems and controls.
- The firm **fails to review** policies and procedures in light of events.
- The firm fails to check whether policies and procedures are applied consistently and effectively.
- A firm has not considered whether its policies and procedures are consistent with its obligations under legislation that forbids discrimination.

See ■ SYSC 3.2.6R and ■ SYSC 6.1.1R.

■ Release 35 • Apr 2024

G

### Staff recruitment, vetting, training, awareness and remuneration .....

2.2.6

Firms must employ staff who possess the skills, knowledge and expertise to carry out their functions effectively. They should review employees' competence and take appropriate action to ensure they remain competent for their role. Vetting and training should be appropriate to employees' roles.

Firms should manage the risk of staff being rewarded for taking unacceptable financial crime risks. In this context, Remuneration Principle 12(h), as set out in ■ SYSC 19A.3.51R and ■ 19A.3.52E, may be relevant to firms subject to the Remuneration Code.

Self-assessment questions:

- •What is your approach to vetting staff? Do vetting and management of different staff reflect the financial crime risks to which they are exposed?
- •How does your firm ensure that its employees are aware of financial crime risks and of their obligations in relation to those risks?
- •Do staff have access to training on an appropriate range of financial crime risks?
- •How does the firm ensure that training is of consistent quality and is kept up to date?
- •Is training tailored to particular roles?
- •How do you assess the effectiveness of your training on topics related to financial crime?
- •Is training material relevant and up to date? When was it last reviewed?

| Examples of good practice Examples |   |   | ples of poor practice  |
|------------------------------------|---|---|--|
| •                                  |   | • |  |
| •                                  | Staff in higher risk roles are subject to more thorough vetting.  | • | Staff are <b>not competent</b> to carry out preventative functions effectively, exposing the firm to financial crime risk.                               |
| •                                  | Temporary staff in higher risk roles are subject to the same level of vetting as permanent members of staff in similar roles.                 | • | Staff vetting is a <b>one-off</b> exercise.  |
| •                                  | Where <b>employment agencies</b> are used, the firm periodically satisfies itself that the agency is adhering to the agreed vetting standard. | • | The firm fails to <b>identify changes</b> that could affect an individual's integrity and suitability.   |
| •                                  | <b>Tailored</b> training is in place to ensure staff knowledge is adequate and up to date.  | • | The firm limits enhanced vet-<br>ting to senior management<br>roles and fails to vet staff<br>whose roles expose them to<br>higher financial crime risk. |

### Examples of good practice

### Examples of poor practice

- New staff in customer-facing positions receive financial crime training tailored to their role before being able to interact with customers.
- The firm fails to identify whether staff whose roles expose them to bribery and corruption risk have links to relevant political or administrative decision-makers.
- Training has a strong practical odimension (e.g. case studies) and some form of testing.
- Poor compliance records are not reflected in staff appraisals and remuneration.
- The firm satisfies itself that staff understand their responsibilities (e.g. computerised training contains a test).
- Training dwells unduly on legislation and regulations rather than practical examples.
- Whistleblowing procedures are clear and accessible, and respect staff confidentiality.
- Training material is **not kept up to date**.
- The firm **fails to identify** training needs.
- There are no training logs or tracking of employees' training history.
- Training **content** lacks management sign-off.
- Training does not cover whistleblowing and escalation procedures.

See ■ SYSC 3.1.6R and ■ SYSC 5.1.1R.

### **Quality of oversight**

2.2.7 G

A firm's efforts to combat financial crime should be subject to **challenge**. We expect senior management to ensure that policies and procedures are appropriate and followed.

Self-assessment questions:

- •How does your firm ensure that its approach to reviewing the effectiveness of financial crime systems controls is **comprehensive**?
- •What are the **findings** of recent internal audits and compliance reviews on topics related to financial crime?
- •How has the firm progressed remedial measures?

### Examples of good practice

### Examples of poor practice

- Internal audit and compliance routinely test the firm's defences against financial crime, including specific financial crime threats.
- Compliance unit and audit teams lack experience in financial crime matters.

- Decisions on allocation of compliance and audit resource are risk-based.
- Audit findings and compliance conclusions are not shared between business units. Lessons are not spread more widely.
- Management engage con**structively** with processes of oversight and challenge.
- Smaller firms seek **external help** if needed.



## 2.3 Further guidance

- 2.3.1 G | FCTR contains the following additional guidance on governance:
  - FCTR 6.3.1G (Governance), from the FSA's thematic review Data security in Financial Services
  - FCTR 8.3.1G (Senior management responsibility) from the FSA's thematic review Financial services firms' approach to UK financial sanctions
  - FCTR 9.3.1G (Governance and management information) from the FSA's thematic review Anti-bribery and corruption in commercial insurance broking
  - FCTR 11.3.1G (Governance, culture and information sharing) from the FSA's thematic review Mortgage fraud against lenders
- 2.3.2 G FCTR contains the following additional guidance on risk assessment:
  - FCTR 8.3.2G (Risk assessment) from the FSA's thematic review Financial services firms' approach to UK financial sanctions
  - FCTR 9.3.2G (Risk assessment and responses to significant bribery and corruption events) from the FSA's thematic review Anti-bribery and corruption in commercial insurance broking
  - FCTR 10.3.7G (Responsibilities and risk assessments) from the FSA's thematic review The Small Firms Financial Crime Review
  - ■ FCTR 12.3.3G (High risk customers and PEPs Risk assessment) and (Correspondent banking Risk assessment of respondent banks) from the FSA's thematic review Banks' management of high money laundering risk situations
- 2.3.3 G FCTR contains the following additional guidance on policies and procedures:
  - FCTR 8.3.3G (Policies and procedures) from the FSA's thematic review Financial services firms' approach to UK financial sanctions
  - ■ FCTR 10.3.1G (Regulatory/Legal obligations) from the FSA's thematic review The Small Firms Financial Crime Review

• ■ FCTR 12.3.2G (High risk customers and PEPs – AML policies and procedures) from the FSA's thematic review Banks' management of high money laundering risk situations

#### G 2.3.4

FCTR contains the following additional guidance on staff recruitment, vetting, training and awareness:

- ■ FCTR 6.3.2G (Training and awareness) and FCTR 6.3.3G (Staff recruitment and vetting) from the FSA's thematic review Data security in Financial Services
- FCTR 8.3.4G (Staff training and awareness) from the FSA's thematic review Financial services firms' approach to UK financial sanctions
- ■ FCTR 9.3.5G (Staff recruitment and vetting) and FCTR 9.3.6G (Training and awareness) from the FSA's thematic review Anti-bribery and corruption in commercial insurance broking
- ■ FCTR 10.3.6G (Training) from the FSA's thematic review The Small Firms Financial Crime Review
- ■ FCTR 11.3.6G (Staff recruitment and vetting) and FCTR 11.3.8G (Staff training and awareness) from the FSA's thematic review Mortgage fraud against lenders laundering risk situations

#### G 2.3.5

FCTR contains the following additional guidance on quality of oversight:

- ■ FCTR 6.3.15G (Internal audit and compliance monitoring) from the FSA's thematic review Data security in Financial Services
- FCTR 9.3.9G (The role of compliance and internal audit) from the FSA's thematic review Anti-bribery and corruption in commercial insurance broking
- ■ FCTR 11.3.5G (Compliance and internal audit) from the FSA's thematic review Mortgage fraud against lenders

#### 2.3.6 G

For firms' obligations in relation to whistleblowers see the Public Interest Disclosure Act 1998: www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/1998/23/contents

FCG 2/12