

Appendix 3

Handling Payment Protection Insurance complaints

3.3A The approach to considering evidence at step 2

App3.3A.1 **E** This section applies to a *CCA lender* at step 2.

Assessment of fairness of relationship

App3.3A.2 **E** Where the *firm* did not disclose to the complainant in advance of a *payment protection contract* being entered into (and is not aware that any other *person* did so at that time):

- (1) the anticipated profit share plus the commission known at the time of the sale; or
- (2) the anticipated profit share plus the commission reasonably foreseeable at the time of the sale; or
- (3) the likely range in which (1) or (2) would fall;

the *firm* should consider whether it can satisfy itself on reasonable grounds that this did not give rise to an unfair relationship under section 140A of the CCA. The *firm's* consideration of unfairness should take into account all relevant matters, including whether the non-disclosure prevented the complainant from making a properly informed judgement about the value of the *payment protection contract*.

App3.3A.3 **G** ■ DISP App 3.3A.2E reflects section 140B(9) of the CCA which provides (in summary) that, if the debtor alleges that the relationship between the creditor and the debtor is unfair to the debtor, it is for the creditor to prove to the contrary.

Presumptions

App3.3A.4 **E** (1) The *firm* should presume that failure to disclose commission gave rise to an unfair relationship under section 140A of the CCA if:

- (a) the anticipated profit share plus the commission known at the time of the sale; or
- (b) the anticipated profit share plus the commission reasonably foreseeable at the time of the sale;

was:

- (c) in relation to a single premium *payment protection contract*, more than 50% of the total amount paid in relation to the *payment protection contract*; or
- (d) in relation to a regular premium *payment protection contract*, at any time in the relevant period or periods more than 50% of the total amount paid in relation to the *payment protection contract* in respect of the relevant period or periods.

- (2) The *firm* should presume that failure to disclose commission did not give rise to an unfair relationship under section 140A of the CCA if the test in (1) is not satisfied.

App3.3A.5 G The presumption that failure to disclose commission gave rise to an unfair relationship is rebuttable. Examples of factors which may contribute to its rebuttal include:

- (1) the *CCA lender* did not know and could not reasonably be expected to know or foresee the level of commission and anticipated profit share; or
- (2) the complainant could reasonably be expected to be aware of the level of commission and anticipated profit share (e.g. because they worked in a role in the financial services industry which gave them such awareness); or
- (3) disclosure would have made no difference whatsoever to the complainant's judgement about the value of the *payment protection contract*. This factor is only likely to be relevant in limited circumstances. If the *firm* concludes that disclosure would have at least caused the complainant to question whether the *payment protection contract* represented value for money and whether it was a sensible transaction to enter into (regardless of whether they may or may not have ultimately gone ahead with the purchase), then the presumption is unlikely to be rebutted due to this factor.

App3.3A.6 G The presumption that failure to disclose commission did not give rise to an unfair relationship is also rebuttable. An example of a factor which may contribute to its rebuttal includes that the complainant was in particularly difficult financial circumstances at the time of the sale.

Reasonably foreseeable commission

App3.3A.7 G For the purposes of the provisions in this section, what is reasonably foreseeable should be determined with regard to all relevant factors, including, where relevant, any agreement specifying rate changes over the first years of the *payment protection contract's* life (as in some regular premium *payment protection contracts*), and the length of time over which the commission will be governed by the agreement between lender and *insurer* that is in place at the time of sale.