Appendix 3 Handling Payment Protection Insurance complaints

3.2 The assessment of a complaint

- App 3.2.-1 G This section applies to both step 1 and step 2.
- App 3.2.1 **C** The *firm* should consider, in the light of all the information provided by the complainant and otherwise already held by or available to the *firm*, whether (at step 1) there was a breach or failing by the *firm* or (at step 2) whether there was a failure to disclose commission.
- App 3.2.2 G The *firm* should seek to establish the true substance of the *complaint*, rather than taking a narrow interpretation of the issues raised, and should not focus solely on the specific expression of the *complaint*. This is likely to require an approach to *complaint* handling that seeks to clarify the nature of the *complaint*.
- **App3.2.3 C** A *firm* may need to contact a complainant directly to understand fully the issues raised, even where the *firm* received the *complaint* from a third party acting on the complainant's behalf. The *firm* should not use this contact to delay the assessment of the *complaint*.
- App 3.2.4 **G** Where a *complaint* raises (expressly or otherwise) issues that may relate to the original sale or a subsequently rejected claim then, irrespective of the main focus of the *complaint*, the *firm* should pro-actively consider whether the issues relate to both the sale and the claim, and assess the *complaint* and determine redress accordingly.
- App 3.2.5 **G** If, during the assessment of the *complaint*, the *firm* uncovers evidence of a breach or failing, or a failure to disclose commission, that was not raised in the *complaint*, the *firm* should consider those other aspects as if they were part of the *complaint*, at step 1 or 2 as appropriate.

App 3.2.6 G The *firm* should take into account any information it already holds about the sale and consider other issues that may be relevant to the sale identified by the *firm* through other means, for example, the root cause analysis described in DISP App 3.4.

App 3.2.7 G The *firm* should consider all of its sales of *payment protection contracts* to the complainant in respect of re-financed loans that were rolled up into the loan covered by the *payment protection contract* that is the subject of the *complaint*. The *firm* should consider the cumulative financial impact on the complainant of any previous breaches or failings in those sales or, where relevant, any previous failures to disclose commission.