

Chapter 10

Appropriateness (for non-advised services) (non-MiFID and non-insurance-based investment products provisions)

Assessing appropriateness: non-readily realisable securities

This Annex belongs to ■ COBS 10.2.9G(1)(a) and ■ COBS 10A.2.11G.

When determining whether a *retail client* has the necessary knowledge to understand the risks involved in relation to a *non-readily realisable security*, a *firm* should consider asking the *client* questions that cover, at least, the following matters:

- (1) the nature of the *client's* contractual relationship with the *issuer* and any underlying beneficiaries of the investment;
- (2) the possibility that the *client* could lose all the money they invest;
- (3) the risk of failure of the *issuer* and the associated risk of losing all of the money invested;
- (4) the regulated status of the investment activity, including that the issuance of *securities* does not ordinarily involve *regulated activity* and the implications in relation to *FCA* regulation;
- (5) the extent to which the protection of the *Financial Ombudsman Service* or *FSCS* apply to the investment activity (including the fact that these services do not protect investors against poor investment performance and that the *Financial Ombudsman Service* cannot ordinarily consider complaints in relation to *unauthorised persons*);
- (6) the potential illiquidity of *non-readily realisable securities* (including the unlikelihood or impossibility that the *client* will be able to sell the *security* and the nature of the mechanisms through which the *client* could be paid their money back);
- (7) the risk to any management and administration of the *client's* investment in the event of the *issuer* becoming insolvent or otherwise failing;
- (8) the role of the *issuer* (including its role in assessing and making underlying investments);
- (9) that where a *security* is held in an *innovative finance ISA* (IFISA), this does not reduce the risk of the *security* or otherwise protect the *client* from the risk of losing their money;
- (10) the benefits of diversification and that *retail clients* should not generally invest more than 10% of their net assets in *restricted mass market investments*;
- (11) where the *security* is a *share*:
 - (a) the likelihood of dividend payments;
 - (b) the risk of dilution from further issues of *shares* and the implications for the value of the *security*; and
 - (c) the risk of any further issues of *shares* granting preferential rights that negatively impact existing investors and the implications for the value of the *security*;
- (12) where the *security* is a *debenture*:
 - (a) the *client's* exposure to the credit risk of the *issuer*;
 - (b) that investing in a *debenture* is not comparable to depositing money in a savings account; and

- (c) that returns may vary over time; and
- (13) where an investment in a *non-readily realisable security* is, or is to be, arranged by a *firm*:
 - (a) the nature of the *client's* contractual relationships with the *firm*;
 - (b) the role of the *firm* and the scope of the service it provides to *clients* (including the extent of the due diligence that the *firm* undertakes in relation to the *securities* that it distributes); and
 - (c) the risk to any management and administration of the *client's* investment in the event of the *firm* becoming insolvent or otherwise failing.