

Chapter 7

Governance and risk management

Additional guidance on assessing potential harms that is relevant for firms dealing on own account or firms with significant investments on their balance sheet

Purpose	
2.1	<p>G</p> <p>(1) This annex contains <i>guidance</i> on how a <i>MIFIDPRU investment firm</i> should assess the potential harms arising from its business as part of its <i>ICARA process</i>. This <i>guidance</i> is primarily intended to be relevant to <i>firms that deal on own account</i> or hold significant investments on their balance sheets. It should be interpreted in light of the <i>firm's</i> individual business model.</p> <p>(2) <i>Firms</i> are reminded that their <i>ICARA process</i> must be proportionate to the nature, scale and complexity of their activities. This <i>guidance</i> should be interpreted by reference to what is proportionate for a particular <i>firm</i>.</p>
2.2	<p>G</p> <p>A <i>firm</i> that <i>deals on own account</i> or holds significant investments on its balance sheets may be at increased risk of events that result in significant losses or other harm to the <i>firm</i>. In turn, this may increase the risk of a <i>firm</i> defaulting on its obligations to counterparties or becoming insolvent and entering a disorderly wind-down.</p>
Examples of situations that may result in material harm to the firm	
2.3	<p>G</p> <p>The following are examples of situations that may result in harm to the <i>firm</i>:</p> <p>(1) material adverse changes in the book value of the <i>firm's</i> assets;</p> <p>(2) the failure of the <i>firm's clients</i> or counterparties; and</p> <p>(3) losses incurred or payments due in connection with positions taken by the <i>firm</i> in <i>financial instruments</i>, foreign currencies and commodities (irrespective of whether those positions form part of the <i>firm's trading book</i> or not).</p>
2.4	<p>G</p> <p>When a <i>firm</i> is assessing potential harms connected with material changes in the book value of the <i>firm's</i> assets, the following non-exhaustive list of factors may be relevant:</p> <p>(1) changes in the creditworthiness or the default of a <i>client</i> or counterparty, where that change or default may result in the <i>firm</i> realising assets below their book value or recording impairments, revaluations or write-downs;</p> <p>(2) changes in market conditions which may affect relevant prices, indices or rates, including changes in equity, debt or foreign exchange markets or interest rates;</p> <p>(3) operational events or natural disasters that may affect the value of the <i>firm's</i> assets;</p> <p>(4) any concentration of the <i>firm's</i> assets in relation to a specific:</p> <p>(a) <i>client</i> or counterparty (or group of connected <i>clients</i> or counterparties);</p> <p>(b) economic sector or sub-sector; or</p> <p>(c) geographical market.</p> <p>This concentration assessment should not be limited to the particular</p>

		risks covered by the requirements in MIFIDPRU 5, but should involve a broader assessment of the risks that may arise in relation to the concentration;
		(5) whether any of the <i>firm's</i> assets are, or have a value which depends on, complex products, such as interests in securitisations or structured products which are complex or opaque;
		(6) the extent to which the <i>firm</i> has used leverage (including contingent leverage); and
		(7) whether the <i>firm</i> has any exposures under off-balance sheet items, such as commitments or guarantees.
2.5	G	When a <i>firm</i> is assessing potential harms arising from the failure of its <i>clients</i> or counterparties, the following non-exhaustive list of factors may be relevant:
		(1) changes in the creditworthiness or the default of a <i>client</i> or counterparty, which may result in direct losses for the <i>firm</i> or the need to re-value or replace transactions;
		(2) changes in market conditions which may result in the <i>firm</i> incurring greater costs to replace a transaction that the <i>client</i> or counterparty has failed to settle;
		(3) the risk that collateral received from the <i>client</i> or counterparty may not be as effective as expected at covering the losses arising from that <i>client</i> or counterparty's failure or default; and
		(4) any concentration of the <i>firm's</i> exposures in relation to the <i>client</i> or counterparty or the economic sector or geographical market in which that <i>client</i> or counterparty is active.
2.6	G	Where a <i>firm</i> is subject to the <i>K-TCD requirement</i> or the <i>K-CON requirement</i> , the <i>FCA</i> would generally expect the <i>firm</i> to consider whether those requirements are sufficient to cover the harms that may result from the failure of its <i>clients</i> or counterparties to fulfil their obligations. In some cases, those requirements may not apply in relation to the <i>client</i> , counterparty or position in question, or may not adequately address the relevant risks. Where this is the case, the <i>firm</i> should consider other measures to address the potential harm.
2.7	G	Where a <i>firm</i> is assessing potential harms arising from the <i>firm's</i> positions in <i>financial instruments</i> , foreign currencies and commodities, the following non-exhaustive list of factors may be relevant:
		(1) the extent to which the relevant position may involve risks that are not adequately captured by the <i>firm's K-NPR requirement, K-CMG requirement or K-CON requirement</i> , such as:
		(a) basis risk between certain products;
		(b) risks arising from approximate valuations applied to non-linear products;
		(c) the risk that large movements in pegged currencies may be underestimated; or
		(d) risks arising from inadequate proxy market data;
		(2) whether a position is illiquid or distressed, or whether it may become so under severe but plausible market conditions, and how this may affect the expected holding period for that position;
		(3) the extent to which it is possible to hedge a position under both normal, and severe but plausible, market conditions;
		(4) whether a position is difficult to value because of a lack of recent observable market data;
		(5) whether the intra-day exposure associated with a position differs significantly from the end-of-day exposure;
		(6) any known weaknesses in any model used by the <i>firm</i> to assess the risks arising from the position; and

-
- (7) the concentration of the portfolio in which the position is held, including by reference to:
- (a) issuers or counterparties;
 - (b) economic sectors or sub-sectors; and
 - (c) geographical markets.