Financial crime systems and controls

Chapter 2

Financial crime systems and controls

FCG 2 : Financial crime systems and controls

		2.2 Themes
	Go	overnance
2.2.1	fir ris m ris sh	We expect senior management to take clear responsibility for managing mancial crime risks, which should be treated in the same manner as other sks faced by the business. There should be evidence that senior management are actively engaged in the firm's approach to addressing the sks. In considering senior management arrangements in the Guide, firms mould consider their arrangements to comply with the Senior Managers and ertification Regime (SM&CR).
		ditor's note: see https://www.fca.org.uk/firms/senior-managers-certification- gime]
	Se	elf-assessment questions:
		•When did senior management, including the board or appropriate sub-committees, last consider financial crime issues? What action followed discussions?
		•How are senior management kept up to date on financial crime issues? (This may include receiving reports on the firm's performance in this area as well as ad hoc briefings on individual cases or emerging threats.)
		•ls there evidence that issues have been escalated where warranted?
		Examples of good practice Examples of poor practice
	•	 Senior management set the right tone and demonstrate leadership on financial crime issues. There is little evidence of senior staff involvement and challenge in practice.
	•	• A firm takes active steps to prevent criminals taking ad- vantage of its services. • A firm concentrates on nar- row compliance with min- imum regulatory standards and has little engagement with the issues.
	•	We would draw comfort from • Financial crime issues are dealt with on a purely react -ive basis.
	•	• A firm has a strategy for self- improvement on financial crime. • There is no meaningful record or evidence of senior manage- ment considering financial crime risks.
	•	There are clear criteria for es- calating financial crime issues.

2

		Management information (MI)
2.2.2		MI should provide senior management with sufficient information to understand the financial crime risks to which their firm is exposed. This will help senior management effectively manage those risks and adhere to the firm's own risk appetite. MI should be provided regularly and ad hoc, as risk dictates.
		Examples of financial crime MI include:
		•an overview of the financial crime risks to which the firm is exposed, including information about emerging risks and any changes to the firm's risk assessment
		 legal and regulatory developments and the impact these have on the firm's approach
		•an overview of the effectiveness of the firm's financial crime systems and controls
		•an overview of staff expenses, gifts and hospitality and charitable donations, including claims that were rejected, and
		 relevant information about individual business relationships, for example:
		the number and nature of new business relationships, in particular those that are high risk
		the number and nature of business relationships that were terminated due to financial crime concerns
		the number of transaction monitoring alerts
		details of any true sanction hits, and
		information about suspicious activity reports considered or submitted, where this is relevant.
		MI may come from more than one source, for example the compliance department, internal audit, the MLRO or the nominated officer.
		Structure
2.2.3	G	Firms' organisational structures to combat financial crime may differ. Some large firms will have a single unit that coordinates efforts and which may report to the head of risk, the head of compliance or directly to the CEO. Other firms may spread responsibilities more widely. There is no one 'right answer' but the firm's structure should promote coordination and information sharing across the business.
		Self-assessment questions:
		•Who has ultimate responsibility for financial crime matters, particularly: a) anti-money laundering; b) fraud prevention; c) data security; d) countering terrorist financing; e) anti-bribery and corruption and f) financial sanctions?
		•Do staff have appropriate seniority and experience , along with clear reporting lines?

	•Does the structure promote a coordinated approach and accountability ?			
	•Are the firm's financial crime teams adequately resourced to carry out their functions effectively? What are the annual budgets for dealing with financial crime, and are they proportionate to the risks?			
	other roles? (It is reasonable f	th financial crime responsibilities have for staff to have more than one role, e spread too thinly and whether this terest.)		
	Examples of good practice	Examples of poor practice		
	 Financial crime risks are ad- dressed in a coordinated man- ner across the business and in- formation is shared readily. 	• The firm makes no effort to understand or address gaps in		
	 Management responsible for financial crime are sufficiently senior as well as being cred- ible, independent, and ex- perienced. 	• Financial crime officers are relatively junior and lack access to senior management. They are often overruled without documented justification.		
	• A firm has considered how counter-fraud and anti-money laundering efforts can complement each other.	• Financial crime departments are under-resourced and senior management are reluctant to address this.		
	• A firm has a strategy for self- improvement on financial crime.			
	• The firm bolsters insufficient in-house knowledge or re- source with external expert- ise , for example in relation to assessing financial crime risk or monitoring compliance with standards.			
	Risk assessment			
2.2.4 G	A thorough understanding of its final apply proportionate and effective system			
	-	ne products and services it offers, the		
	A business-wide risk assessment – or	risk assessments – should:		
	 be comprehensive and consider provide the provided of the provide	der a wide range of factors – it is not just one factor		
	 draw on a wide range of release enough to consider just one set 	evant information – it is not normally ource, and		

•be proportionate to the nature, scale and complexity of the firm's activities.

Firms should build on their business-wide risk assessment or risk assessments to determine the level of risk associated with **individual relationships**. This should:

•enable the firm to take a holistic view of the risk associated with the relationship, considering all relevant risk factors, and

•enable the firm to apply the appropriate level of due diligence to manage the risks identified.

The assessment of risk associated with individual relationships can inform, but is not a substitute for, business-wide risk assessments.

Firms should regularly review both their business-wide and individual risk assessments to ensure they remain current.

Self-assessment questions:

•What are the main financial crime risks to the business?

•How does your firm seek to **understand** the financial crime risks it faces?

•When did the firm last update its risk assessment?

•How do you identify new or emerging financial crime risks?

•Is there evidence that risk is considered and recorded systematically, assessments are updated and **sign-off** is appropriate?

•Who **challenges** risk assessments and how? Is this process sufficiently rigorous and well-documented?

•How do **procedures** on the ground adapt to emerging risks? (For example, how quickly are policy manuals updated and procedures amended?)

Examples of good practice	Examples of poor practice
• The firm's risk assessment is comprehensive .	• Risk assessment is a one-off exercise.
• Risk assessment is a continu- ous process based on the best information available from in- ternal and external sources.	• Efforts to understand risk are piecemeal and lack coor-dination.
• The firm assesses where risks are greater and concentrates its resources accordingly.	 Risk assessments are in- complete.
• The firm actively considers the impact of crime on customers.	• The firm targets financial crimes that affect the bottom line (e.g. fraud against the firm) but neglects those

Exan	nples of good practice	Exam	oles of poor practice
			where third parties suffer (e.g. fraud against custome
•	The firm considers financial		(0.9
	crime risk when designing		
	new products and services.		
Polic	ies and procedures		
its bu	n must have in place up-to-date p siness. These should be readily ac levant staff.		
Self-a	ssessment questions:		
	•How often are your firm's pol what level of seniority ?	icies ar	nd procedures reviewed , ar
	•How does it mitigate the fina	ncial cr	ime risks it identifies?
	•What steps does the firm take procedures reflect new risks of necessary changes made?		•
	•What steps does the firm take	to on	
	policies and procedures?	e to en	sure that staff understand i
		our fir	m ensure that policies and
Exan	•For larger groups, how does y	our fir nd app	m ensure that policies and
Exan •	policies and procedures?For larger groups, how does y procedures are disseminated a	our fir nd app	m ensure that policies and lied throughout the busine oles of poor practice
Exam •	 policies and procedures? For larger groups, how does y procedures are disseminated an apples of good practice There is clear documentation of a firm's approach to complying with its legal and regulatory requirements in rela- 	our fir nd app	m ensure that policies and lied throughout the busine oles of poor practice A firm has no written poli and procedures. The firm does not tailor e ternally produced policies
Exam •	 Policies and procedures? For larger groups, how does y procedures are disseminated an apples of good practice There is clear documentation of a firm's approach to complying with its legal and regulatory requirements in relation to financial crime. Policies and procedures are regularly reviewed and 	vour fir nd app Examı •	m ensure that policies and lied throughout the busine ples of poor practice A firm has no written po li
•	 Policies and procedures? For larger groups, how does y procedures are disseminated an apples of good practice There is clear documentation of a firm's approach to complying with its legal and regulatory requirements in relation to financial crime. Policies and procedures are regularly reviewed and updated. Internal audit or another independent party monitors the effectiveness of policies, proced- 	vour fir nd app Examı •	m ensure that policies and lied throughout the busine oles of poor practice A firm has no written poli and procedures. The firm does not tailor e ternally produced policies procedures to suit its busing The firm fails to review po- icies and procedures in lig

FCG 2/6

2

			ecruitment, vetting, train eration	ing, a	wareness and	
2.2.6 G	G	carry ou compet	Firms must employ staff who possess the skills, knowledge and expertise to carry out their functions effectively. They should review employees' competence and take appropriate action to ensure they remain competent for their role. Vetting and training should be appropriate to employees' roles.			
		Firms should manage the risk of staff being rewarded for taking unacceptable financial crime risks. In this context, Remuneration Principle 12(h), as set out in ■ SYSC 19A.3.51R and ■ 19A.3.52E, may be relevant to firms subject to the Remuneration Code.				
		Self-ass	essment questions:			
			•What is your approach to vetting staff? Do vetting and management of different staff reflect the financial crime risks to which they are exposed?			
				our firm ensure that its employees are aware of financial and of their obligations in relation to those risks?		
			•Do staff have access to trainir crime risks?	ng on a	an appropriate range of financia	
			•How does the firm ensure that is kept up to date ?	at train	ing is of consistent quality and	
			•Is training tailored to particul	ar role	s?	
			•How do you assess the effect irelated to financial crime?	veness	s of your training on topics	
			•ls training material relevant a reviewed?	ind up	to date? When was it last	
		Examp	les of good practice	Exam	ples of poor practice	
		•		•		
			Staff in higher risk roles are subject to more thorough vetting.	•	Staff are not competent to carry out preventative func- tions effectively, exposing the firm to financial crime risk.	
			Temporary staff in higher risk roles are subject to the same level of vetting as permanent members of staff in similar roles.	•	Staff vetting is a one-off exercise.	
			Where employment agencies are used, the firm periodically satisfies itself that the agency is adhering to the agreed vet- ting standard.	•	The firm fails to identify changes that could affect an individual's integrity and suitability.	
			Tailored training is in place to ensure staff knowledge is ad- equate and up to date.	•	The firm limits enhanced vet- ting to senior management roles and fails to vet staff whose roles expose them to	

	mples of good practice	Exam	ples of poor practice
•	New staff in customer-facing positions receive financial crime training tailored to their role before being able to interact with customers.	•	The firm fails to identify whether staff whose roles pose them to bribery and o ruption risk have links to r evant political or administr ive decision-makers.
•	Training has a strong practical dimension (e.g. case studies) and some form of testing.	•	Poor compliance records an not reflected in staff ap- praisals and remuneration .
•	The firm satisfies itself that staff understand their respons- ibilities (e.g. computerised training contains a test).	•	Training dwells unduly on gislation and regulations r ther than practical example
•	Whistleblowing procedures are clear and accessible, and respect staff confidentiality.	•	Training material is not ke up to date .
		•	The firm fails to identify tr ing needs.
		•	There are no training logs tracking of employees' trai ing history.
		•	Training content lacks man agement sign-off.
		•	Training does not cover whistleblowing and escala tion procedures.
See	SYSC 3.1.6R and SYSC 5.1.1R.		
Qua	lity of oversight		
A fir	m's efforts to combat financial cri ect senior management to ensure t		
expe	opriate and followed.		
expe appr	opriate and followed. assessment questions:	·	
expe appr	•		
expe appr	• assessment questions: •How does your firm ensure th	syster ent inte	rnal audits and compliance
expe appr	 assessment questions: How does your firm ensure th effectiveness of financial crime What are the findings of rece 	syster ent inte nancial	rnal audits and compliance crime?
expe appr Self-	 assessment questions: How does your firm ensure th effectiveness of financial crime What are the findings of rece reviews on topics related to fir 	e syster ent inte nancial remed	rnal audits and compliance crime?

2.2.7

2

	nples of good practice	Examples of poor practice
•	Decisions on allocation of compliance and audit resource are risk-based .	 Audit findings and compli- ance conclusions are not shared between business un- its. Lessons are not spread more widely.
•	Management engage con- structively with processes of oversight and challenge.	
•	Smaller firms seek external help if needed.	