## Chapter 6

# Relevant persons and successors in default

.....

### 6.1 **Application and Purpose**

### **Application**

- 6.1.1 This chapter applies to the FSCS.
- 6.1.2 G It is also relevant to claimants.

### Purpose

- G 6.1.3 The purpose of this chapter is to specify the types of *person* against whom a claimant must have a claim in order to be eligible for compensation, and when those persons are 'in default'. Generally, this occurs when they are insolvent or unable to meet their liabilities to claimants.
- 6.1.4 G To be eligible for compensation a claimant's claim must be against a relevant person (or, where applicable, a successor) in default: see ■ COMP 3.2.1 R (2).

**COMP 6/2** 



### 6.2 Who is a relevant person?

- A relevant person is a person who was, at the time the act or omission giving rise to the claim against it took place:
  - (1) a participant firm; or
  - (2) an appointed representative of a participant firm.
- **6.2.2 G** [deleted]
- 6.2.2A R The FSCS may pay compensation in respect of the activities of a relevant person:
  - (1) (if it was a *firm*) whether or not it was acting within the scope of its *permission*;
  - (2) (if it was an appointed representative) whether or not it was acting within the scope of the business for which its *principal* had accepted responsibility;
  - (3) (if it was a recognised investment exchange) whether or not it was acting in accordance with any recognition requirements resulting from section 286 of the Act and relating to the regulated activity of operating a multilateral trading facility or operating an organised trading facility.

[Note: sections 39(3) and 213(3)(a) of the Act]

- 6.2.3 G A pre-IP completion day incoming EEA firm may be a participant firm in respect of acts or omissions before IP completion daythat give rise to a claim against it.
- A TP firm to which regulation 8 or 11 of the EU Exit Passport Regulations applies, that is not to be regarded as a relevant person under section 213(9A) of the Act (as inserted by regulation 24 of the EU Exit Passport Regulations) is not a participant firm. A TP firm to which regulation 28 or 34 of the EU Exit Passport Regulations applies, that is not to be regarded as a relevant person under section 213(9A) of the Act (as inserted by regulation 70 of the EU Exit Passport Regulations) is not a participant firm. For the purposes of the FCA's compensation rules, this means that most (but not all) TP firms operating in the UK without an establishment are not participant firms.

G

6.2.5

Schedule 6A to the Act sets out a procedure to enable the FCA to cancel or vary the Part 4A permission of a person who, it appears to the FCA, is not carrying on the regulated activity to which the Part 4A permission relates. In some cases, this may result in the person no longer being a relevant person following cancellation of all their Part 4A permissions. Paragraph 5 of Schedule 6A to the Act sets out a procedure for the subsequent annulment of the decision to cancel or vary the person's Part 4A permission in specified circumstances where the FCA is satisfied that it is just and reasonable to do so. Where the FCA grants an application for annulment, either with conditions or unconditionally, paragraph 6 of Schedule 6A to the Act sets out its effect, which includes that the cancellation or variation of the Part 4A permission is treated as if it had never taken place. As a result of the effect of the annulment, the person may therefore be a relevant person for the purposes of any claims which arise during the period in which the person's Part 4A permission was cancelled or varied.

**COMP 6/4** 



### 6.3 When is a relevant person in default?

- **6.3.1 R** A relevant person is in default if:
  - (1) (except in relation to an *ICD claim*) the *FSCS* has determined it to be in default under COMP 6.3.2 R, COMP 6.3.3 R or COMP 6.3.4 R; or
  - (2) (in relation to an ICD claim):
    - (a) the FCA has determined it to be in default under COMP 6.3.2 R;
    - (b) a judicial authority has made a ruling that had the effect of suspending the ability of *eligible claimants* to bring *claims* against the *participant firm*, if that is earlier than (a); and

if a *relevant person* is *in default* in relation to an *ICD claim* it shall be deemed to be *in default* in relation to any other type of *protected claim*.

- 6.3.1A G [Note: article 2(2) of the *Investor Compensation Directive*]
- 6.3.2 The FSCS (or, where COMP 6.3.1 R(2)(a) applies, the FCA) may determine a relevant person to be in default when it is, in the opinion of the FSCS (or the FCA):
  - (1) unable to satisfy protected claims against it; or
  - (2) likely to be unable to satisfy protected claims against it.
- The FSCS may determine a relevant person to be in default if it is satisfied that a protected claim exists (other than an ICD claim), and the relevant person is the subject of one or more of the following proceedings in the United Kingdom (or of equivalent or similar proceedings in another jurisdiction):
  - (1) the passing of a resolution for a creditors' voluntary winding up;
  - (2) a determination by the FCA or the PRA that the relevant person appears unable to meet claims against it and has no early prospect of being able to do so;
  - (3) the appointment of a liquidator or administrator, or provisional liquidator or interim manager;

- (4) the making of an order by a court of competent jurisdiction for the winding up of a company, the dissolution of a partnership, the administration of a company or partnership, or the bankruptcy of an individual;
- (5) the approval of a company voluntary arrangement, a partnership voluntary arrangement, or of an individual voluntary arrangement;
- (6) a moratorium under Part A1 of the Insolvency Act 1986 being in force.
- 6.3.4 The FSCS may determine a relevant person to be in default if it is satisfied that a protected claim exists (other than an ICD claim), and:
  - (1) the FSCS is satisfied that the relevant person cannot be contacted at its last place of business and that reasonable steps have been taken to establish a forwarding or current address, but without success; and
  - (2) there appears to the FSCS to be no evidence that the relevant person will be able to meet claims made against it.
- G 6.3.4A For the avoidance of doubt, ■ COMP 6.3.3R and ■ COMP 6.3.4R do not limit ■ COMP 6.3.2R.
- 6.3.5 [deleted]
- 6.3.6 R [deleted]
- 6.3.7 R [deleted]

### Claims arising under COMP 3.2.4 R

6.3.8 R For the purposes of ■ COMP 6.3 a claim made by a *firm* under ■ COMP 3.2.4 R is to be treated as if it were a protected claim against the relevant person.

### Scheme manager's power to require information

6.3.9 R For the purposes of sections 219(1A)(b), (d) and (f) of the Act (Scheme manager's power to require information) whether a relevant person is unable or likely to be unable to satisfy claims shall be determined by reference to whether it is in default.



### 6.3A When is a successor in default?

### 6.3A.1 R

A successor is in default if:

the FSCS has determined it to be *in default* under ■ COMP 6.3A.2R, ■ COMP 6.3A.3R, or ■ COMP 6.3A.4R, unless the claim is within (b); or

(in relation to an ICD claim against a successor that is a MiFID investment firm):

the FCA has determined it to be in default under COMP 6.3A.2R; or

a judicial authority has made a ruling that had the effect of suspending the ability of *eligible claimants* to bring *claims* against the *successor*, if that is earlier than (i).

If a successor is in default in relation to an ICD claim within (1)(b) it is to be deemed to be in default in relation to any other type of protected claim.

### 6.3A.2 R

The FSCS (or, where  $\blacksquare$  COMP 6.3A.1R(1)(b)(i) applies, the FCA) may determine a successor to be in default when it is, in the opinion of the FSCS (or the FCA):

- (1) unable to satisfy protected claims against it; or
- (2) likely to be unable to satisfy *protected claims* against it.

### 6.3A.3 R

The FSCS may determine a successor to be in default if it is satisfied that a protected claim exists (other than an ICD claim against a successor that is a MiFID investment firm), and the successor is the subject of one or more of the following proceedings in the United Kingdom (or of equivalent or similar proceedings in another jurisdiction):

- (1) the passing of a resolution for a creditors' voluntary winding up; or
- (2) a determination by the FCA or the PRA that the successor appears unable to meet claims against it and has no early prospect of being able to do so; or
- (3) the appointment of a liquidator or administrator, or provisional liquidator or interim manager; or
- (4) the making of an order by a court of competent jurisdiction for the winding up of a company, the dissolution of a partnership, the

- administration of a company or partnership, or the bankruptcy of an individual; or
- (5) the approval of a company voluntary arrangement, a partnership voluntary arrangement, or an individual voluntary arrangement; or
- (6) a moratorium under Part A1 of the Insolvency Act 1986 being in force.
- 6.3A.4 The FSCS may determine that a successor to be in default if it is satisfied that a protected claim exists (other than an ICD claim against a successor that is an MiFID investment firm), and:
  - (1) the FSCS is satisfied that the successor cannot be contacted at its last place of business and that reasonable steps have been taken to establish a forwarding or current address, but without success; and
  - (2) there appears to the FSCS to be no evidence that the successor will be able to meet claims made against it.
- 6.3A.4A G For the avoidance of doubt, ■ COMP 6.3A.3R and ■ COMP 6.3A.4R do not limit ■ COMP 6.3A.2R.
- 6.3A.5 For the purposes of sections 219(1A)(b), (d) and (f) of the Act (Scheme manager's power to require information) whether a successor is unable or likely to be unable to satisfy claims is to be determined by reference to whether it is in default.

**COMP 6/8**